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**Maja Wismer:** What is your definition of ephemera?

**Barbara Preisig:** Ephemera are printed matter that are produced for a certain occasion and therefore have a fairly short shelf life, but are nevertheless collected beyond their expiration date. This also includes things such as plane tickets, admission tickets, and print advertisements. But in my work I only deal with a small subset of ephemera: exhibition invitations and newspaper or magazine advertisements. I’m also mostly interested in ephemera that are intended not only as advertisements, but also as artworks. These include works by Eleanor Antin, Robert Barry, Daniel Buren, Dan Graham, Adrian Piper, and Yoko Ono. By this definition, ephemera have emerged as a genre in their own right in contemporary art since the 1960s.

**MW:** So, to you, ephemera are more objects than temporal phenomena?

**BP:** Ephemera are objects with a specific temporality. They announce events and exhibitions. In this role, they accompany, frame, and comment on the production of art at a certain time and in a certain place. Ephemera make it possible to understand art in its historical ties.

**MW:** Why do you limit your research to invitations and advertisements?

**BP:** I took this decision because posters were not used very often in conceptual art in the ’60s and ’70s, which is my area of research. But the decision also had very practical reasons: namely, because posters have their own form of distribution. While advertisements and invitations reach their recipients by post, posters are often found in public spaces; the reception takes place “directly,” by eye contact, so to speak, and this could be the subject of another study altogether.

**MW:** To you, being sent by mail is a condition of ephemera. Does that mean that proofs or artists’ copies of the same document, for example, don’t belong to the category of ephemera?
BP: These elements are part of the production process, but I don’t consider them ephemera. But proofs, sketches, etc., are important for other reasons. Artists have always looked for ways to define individual copies of mass-produced items as unique works, to sign and sell them. Such elements, which often also bear the artist’s handwriting, are ideal for this.

MW: To me, designing an advertisement seems to be an active part of being an artist, and when a design was brought to the printer, or even just laid on a copy machine, one copy was always kept for the artist’s personal archive, and it was not necessarily signed, since it was simply evidence of one’s activities, of a project, or of the fact that this project took place. I would claim that later, when this archive has been entrusted as an estate to a museum, a research library, or—as has recently become common—a gallery, for example, this document should be classified as ephemera. But perhaps this doesn’t contradict your argument at all, since these copies still have the potential of being sent, or they are documentary evidence of ephemera.

BP: I think that such documents are simply elements of work within the corresponding production process. They are like a sketch that ultimately leads to a painting. In this sense, the sketch is not a painting, but part of the production process.

MW: How do you explain your fascination with ephemera?

BP: I like the fact that a small disposable object can become a space for artistic activity under certain circumstances, and that, for example, an invitation itself makes the journey to the recipient. Then there is my fascination with the large number of copies, which were scattered into a thousand pieces and sent hither and thither by mail, and no one really knows how many copies of a work still exist and where they are. I also like the relation to pop culture. I have a great passion for postcards, since they are a medium that is used outside of art as a form of communication, quite unlike giant paintings, which belong to the domain of art.

MW: I would say that this is a paradox: conceptual art ephemera are anything but products of pop culture.

BP: I fully agree.

MW: So it has nothing to do with pop culture.
BP: That I would disagree with. Ephemera absolutely have to do with pop culture. For one thing, artists used a popular form of communication. Then, the medium of the postcard, and indeed also the idea of the large number of copies, expresses the desire for the democratization of art, though this aim was not realized. The practice of conceptual art was extremely elitist. Only those who belonged to a small professional network or important institutions were sent this exclusive mail.

MW: In that respect, posters, which are hung for everyone to see on advertising columns, are much closer to pop culture and the idea of democratic access to art.

BP: In a certain sense, that’s true. There are, of course, also exceptions among the ephemera that I study. Dan Graham and Adrian Piper, for instance, placed advertisements in widely circulated tabloids or weeklies in the 1960s and 1970s.

MW: To what extent do you see communication by postcard as being rooted in pop culture?

BP: In the ‘60s picture, postcards were still a popular form of communication. Archival materials show that artists, gallerists, and curators conducted some of their business correspondence by postcard. The categories overlapped in some cases: invitations became postcards, postcards became invitations, and invitations in a sense also became artists’ calling cards. So their uses were very fluid.

MW: Could you say that the same thing happened with postcards as with various other new media over the course of history? For instance, with photography, which at first was only accessible to a few and then became increasingly available and thus arrived as a pictorial medium in art. Communication by picture postcards seems a logical consequence for the context at hand. One that furthermore carries within it the desire for an art that is democratic, in a way.

BP: Yes, although the postcard was already destined to decline in the ‘60s, and I have often wondered why the use of such printed matter experienced such a boom at that time, and why artists didn’t work with media such as fax or telephone, or with video, as others did. After all, it was a time in which technological developments had a strong influence on everyday life in society.

MW: And what are the reasons for this?

BP: I believe it has to do with a skepticism of the media. In the United States there were several art and technology exhibitions, such as Software, Information Technology: Its New Meaning for Art at the Jewish Museum in New York in 1970. But the media euphoria turned into a rejection almost overnight. This happened because people realized that there was a connection between the military industry and military interests of the American government and the very companies that also sponsored exhibitions. The famous exhibition Information at MoMA in New York in 1970 can be read as an expression of this skepticism. My assertion is that the ways these new media worked—especially the virtual possibilities of television, and the networked society that Marshall McLuhan dreamed of at the time under the term “global village”—were actually already realized and reflected in analog form in ephemera.

MW: In your view, was this also due to the fact that postcards made a kind of private reception possible, in the spirit of mass communication?

BP: Yes, I believe that it was a kind of imitation of the new electronic media. We are all familiar with the pictures from the ‘60s that show families sitting in their living room and watching the Vietnam War on television. Art, and thus ephemera, also sought to enter this private domain. The idea was that the media would find their way to the recipients, and
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Dartmouth's rezoning problems have been solved by issuing new building permits and changing the zoning regulations. The city has now decided to rezone the area to accommodate new commercial developments.
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The new zoning regulations will allow for a variety of commercial uses, including retail stores, office buildings, and restaurants. These changes will help to revitalize the downtown area and attract more businesses to the city.
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Well, there were two last minute rush on invitations and postcards. One for a wedding on a Saturday and one for a baby shower on a Sunday. The first one was for a wedding on a Saturday. The second one was for a baby shower on a Sunday.

The invitees were notified by phone, email, and social media. The invitations were sent out two weeks prior to the event. The baby shower was for a baby girl named Emily and the wedding was for a couple named John and Jane.

The guests were informed of the location, time, and details of the event. The wedding was to be held at the Dartmouth Inn on Saturday and the baby shower was to be held at the Dartmouth City Hall on Sunday.

The guests were encouraged to bring gifts and were given a list of the gifts they could bring. The wedding guests were given a list of the gifts they could bring and the baby shower guests were given a list of the items they could bring.

The guests were also given information about the event, including the dress code and any special requests the hosts had. The wedding guests were asked to dress formally and the baby shower guests were asked to bring baby clothes.

The hosts were given information about the event, including the dress code and any special requests the guests had. The wedding hosts were asked to dress formally and the baby shower hosts were asked to bring baby clothes.
they would no longer go to the theater or the cinema or the museum, for example.

**MW:** Target group-oriented communication.

**BP:** A very important term for the discussion of ephemera in conceptual art.

**MW:** What do you think is the significance of ephemera for or in art history?

**BP:** Ephemera have an enormous significance for contemporary art in the 20th and 21st centuries. Representatives of conceptual art recognized that the creation of value no longer took place only through material and object-based works. In advertisements and invitations, communication becomes the focus of artistic interest. The work itself serves to promote the artist. The way in which artists communicated was just as crucial as the strategic, project-oriented cooperation with various participants in the art business. In the 1960s, artists established “immaterial” working methods and an artistic self-conception that today are ubiquitous not only in art, but in our so-called service, information, or networked society. Interestingly, however, this economized innovation to which artists contributed had a very different significance than it does today. It was part of a social critique of the rigid, centralized, and hierarchical forms of administration during the post-war era.

**MW:** What does your work as an art historian consist of?

**BP:** I see my job as tracing a historical line and thus demonstrating that a certain form of artistic thought was practiced much earlier than was previously believed to be the case. But an important part of my work as an art historian is also to show that art does not take place in an autonomous space that is closed to the outside world, but participates in economic, social, and cultural developments, reflects them, and sometimes even questions them. I really do believe in the idea that the history of art can explain the present to us.

**MW:** Why have you focused on the United States and the city of New York?

**BP:** I see the United States and New York as an important Western center of conceptual art. This doesn’t mean that all the artists that I deal with are Americans or that they lived there, but many works were produced and exhibited there. Considering that conceptual art participated in economic innovations through the use of ephemera, this choice is not very surprising. In major cities such as New York, economic processes of innovation generally began earlier than in other places. Advertising was reinvented, so to speak, in the 1960s on Madison Avenue. The campaigns show striking similarities to works of conceptual art.

**MW:** Although conceptual art had its first successes in Europe.

**BP:** Absolutely, the reception did in fact take place in Europe. New York was an important place for its production.

**MW:** Doesn’t this in a way local limitation contradict your observation that ephemera are something that is meant to be sent?

**BP:** As a contrast to the claim of mobility and decentralization? I would definitely say that there were and continue to be centers, and that New York was an important one. This is evident, for example, in the work of Eleanor Antin, who moved to California, and then between 1973 and 1975 made her postcard series *100 Boots* because, as she says, she could no longer go to every opening herself due to the physical distance, and so she needed to find another strategy in order to remain in the spotlight. It was a very effective strategy. After all, the work made the artist famous, and the series culminated in a solo exhibition at MoMA. Art became mobile, but not the power centers of the art business. MoMA is still MoMA, regardless of how many postcards are sent.

**MW:** Did Eleanor Antin continue to work with postcards after her strategy succeeded? Did she use postcards as her own stylistic device, so to speak, like On Kawara?

**BP:** The work with the boots spanned three years. Afterward she mostly worked with film. But, interestingly, this is still her most famous work.

**MW:** So not like On Kawara, who wrote a postcard every morning, almost as a meditation, or as proof of his own existence.

**BP:** On Kawara is a major exception. Interestingly, there are hardly any artists who worked exclusively with ephemera. That remains the case today.
Strictly speaking, however, *I Got Up* is not a work of ephemera. He sent only two cards at a time with the same motif and stamped them by hand.

**MW:** Presumably, if we take the meaning of ephemera seriously, the work of someone who only created ephemera would be quite ephemeral. Could you say a bit more about how you see your position as an outsider, as a non-native speaker in the context of New York as a center of production for ephemera?

**BP:** The only thing that comes to mind is that I had to do a lot of work to familiarize myself with the entire discourse, which is very American. On the other hand, at university in New York, I realized that I enjoy something like the privilege of fools. Especially because I attack very powerful positions, such as those of Benjamin H. D. Buchloh and the entire *October* school, and take an opposing position. American art historians don't think they can afford to do this, since these positions are still so powerful today.

**MW:** Your decentralization is an advantage!
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